



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/023,416	02/13/1998	TADAHIRO OHMI	980150	6923	
759	90 09/24/2003				
ARMSTRONG WESTERMAN HATTORI MCLELAND & NAUGHTON SUITE 1000 1725 K STREET N W WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER		
			FOX, JOHN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3753	11.1	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	4 4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF C MMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTOL		TORNEY DOCKET NO.
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				44
		DATE	MAILED:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS					
ADVISORY ACTION					
☑. THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
a) so extended to run from the date of the final rejection					
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 9/8/03 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.					
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: The claim includes new fectures not considered					
Meinaria					
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
3. Suppose the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:					
Claims allowed:					
Claims objected to:					
Claims rejected:					
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because					
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.					
□ Other					
JOHN FOX PRIMARY EXAMINED					